

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,292	01/11/2005	Thomas Daniel	29827/40753	1444
4743 MARSHALL	7590 12/12/2007 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			BERNSHTEYN, MICHAEL	
SEARS TOWE CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1796	. <u>.</u> .
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/521,292	DANIEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael M. Bernshteyn	1796		

Advisory Action	10/521,292	DANIEL ET AL.	
After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael M. Bernshteyn	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence ad	dress
The reply filed <u>05 November 2007</u> is acknowledged.			
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an ap Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered bec 		ision by the Board	d of Patent
 a. The amendment is not limited to cancelin any other pending claims) or rewriting de dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting the dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting. 	pendent claims into independent	form (no limitatio	
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not time See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).	ely filed before the filing of an app	eal brief.	
 The reply is not entered because it was not filed w 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate) 			
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in respinctudes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 4 response to a remand by the Board of Patent (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).	1.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental e. Appeals and Interferences for fu	xaminer's answer rther consideratio	written in n of rejection
3. The reply is entered. An explanation of the status	of the claims after entry is below	or attached.	
4. Other: Claims 1-5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 4,266,082). Claims 6 and 7are rejected under 35 U.S.C. being unpatentable as obvious over Tsubakimoto et al.	.102(b) as anticipated by, or in th		
	SUP Ti	RANDY GULAKOWO ERVISORY PATENT EX ECHNOLOGY CENTER	Slebeus AMIL 1700
		•	